	05k0softc	· · ·
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	x	
3	SOFTWARE FREEDOM CONSERVANCY, INC.,	
4	Plaintiff,	
5	v.	09 CV 10155
6	BEST BUY CO., INC. et al,	
7	Defendant.	
8		
9	x	New York, N.Y. May 20, 2010
10		11:30 A.M.
11	Before:	•
12	HON. SHIRA A. SCHEINDLIN,	
13	·	District Judge
13 14	APPEARANCI	
	DANIEL B. RAVICHER	
14	·	
14 15	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14 15	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff ALANNA FRISBY PHILIPPE ALAIN ZIMMERMAN	
14 15 16 17	DANIEL B. RAVICHER  AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON  MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL  Attorneys for Plaintiff  ALANNA FRISBY	
14 15 16 17 18	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff ALANNA FRISBY PHILIPPE ALAIN ZIMMERMAN	
14 15 16 17 18	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff ALANNA FRISBY PHILIPPE ALAIN ZIMMERMAN	
14 15 16 17 18 19	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff ALANNA FRISBY PHILIPPE ALAIN ZIMMERMAN	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff ALANNA FRISBY PHILIPPE ALAIN ZIMMERMAN	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff ALANNA FRISBY PHILIPPE ALAIN ZIMMERMAN	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DANIEL B. RAVICHER AARON KYLE WILLIAMSON MICHAEL ANDREW SPIEGEL Attorneys for Plaintiff ALANNA FRISBY PHILIPPE ALAIN ZIMMERMAN	

б

(In open court)

THE COURT: My chambers somehow failed to record this conference, so we endorsed a letter dated May 3 from Mr. Ravicher, the plaintiff's attorney, that there would be a conference on 11:00 a.m. May 20th, but then nobody put it on our calendar. So we just totally missed it.

I have no letter response to the plaintiff's letter from defense counsel. And yet they are here today. And I'll know your names in just a minute.

MR. RAVICHER: We seek just to make our motion against one of the defendants --

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.

We failed to calendar this, but I have the plaintiff's letter of May 3. I know exactly what the plaintiff is seeking. I read the letter, so no point in your repeating that at all, Mr. Ravicher. The question is, what's the defense answer to all of this. And plaintiff says, you have not provided the discovery, it is an obvious case of infringement, they are going to move for summary judgement, finding a judgement of infringement seeking remedies. But if you can't oppose the motion under Rule 11, then you can't. There is no need for a motion if you can't oppose it in good faith. What are you going to say? They say it's a clear-cut case of infringement, they own the copyright, you are producing these Busy Boxes, you know you shouldn't be, it's just a matter of damages, isn't it?

And what discovery have you not made?

MR. ZIMMERMAN: Your Honor, the defendants who are present here are not the subject of the proposed motion.

THE COURT: Well, then, that's that.

So, what's there to say? Why don't you do this by default?

MR. RAVICHER: Well, your Honor, the defendant filed an answer, but then since filing the answer, they have not done anything else.

THE COURT: Exactly. So they failed to respond to discovery requests.

MR. RAVICHER: Right.

THE COURT: So you have sanctions under Rule 37.

MR. RAVICHER: Well, that was one of the motions to compel, but we believe we have enough evidence already in our own possession to make our case.

THE COURT: Right. But you can also move for default judgement for the failure to produce any discovery, you know, failure to respond to any discovery requests. You do it on both grounds. Do it in the alternative.

MR. RAVICHER: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay, but do it quickly. You're absolutely right. I mean they are not defending, so I know they answered, but they are not here. They received your letter and they are not here.

24

25

Who is the counsel who is not here? 1 MR. RAVICHER: Westinghouse counsel, your Honor, was 2 3 Kyle Fleming of --4 THE COURT: Kyle Fleming. MR. RAVICHER: -- Renner Otto, a firm --5 THE COURT: Of, what's the name? 6 7 MR. RAVICHER: Law firm's name is Renner Otto, of Cleveland, Ohio. And their local counsel was Sarah, with an H, 8 9 Yousuf of the firm, Kane Kessler. THE COURT: Uh-huh. 10 11 MR. RAVICHER: I had a conversation with Mr. Fleming in order to attempt to confer about their failure to produce 12 discovery. And he said that Westinghouse has undergone some 13 California State equivalent to bankruptcy. 14 15 THE COURT: That's what I figured. Okay. Just make your motion at the earliest possible time. 16 When do you want to make it? 17 18 MR. RAVICHER: Well, I could -- I could make it today, 19 on oral testimony, or I can make it by papers within two weeks. THE COURT: I wish I could say yes to the oral motion, 20 but I don't think we can do it. They have to. 21 judgement, they have to have the notice. Last ditch effort to 22 respond. So you'll have to make it in writing, since it is 23 dispositive.

Okay, so that's -- we'll make it two weeks from today?

MR. RAVICHER: Two weeks, yes.

THE COURT: Today being May 20. We'll expect the motion June 3.

MR. RAVICHER: Can I also make a motion, under 25C, to join the new owners in interests, successors in interest?

THE COURT: Well, yeah, but then we're really going to have give them some time to respond. In other words, the other parties have been here all along. If you add a new party --

MR. RAVICHER: Right. So I'll move first for judgement, and after judgement is entered, then make 25C motion.

THE COURT: Yeah. So what I was going to say, is since Westinghouse has been here all along, two weeks to respond is enough. You're going to make this -- but this can't go on. So June 3 is the motion date, response is due June 17. I would ask you to order this transcript -- it will be short. And send it to both Mr. Fleming's firm and Mrs. Yousuf's firm, so they see the dates I have set right away.

June -- what did I say, 17th for response. If you get a response, which I doubt, then your reply is due Monday, June 28th.

Okay?

MR. RAVICHER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: That will be fully submitted. I urge you to do it on both grounding. You should win as a matter of law,

_	05k0softc	
		· ·
1	anyway.	And that after failure to participate.
2		Okay, with respect to the other defendant who is here,
3	I'm sorr	y I didn't realize that. JVC Americas is Ms. Frisby's
4	client.	And Versa Technology is Mr. Zimmerman's client, right?
5		MR. ZIMMERMAN: Yes, your Honor.
6		THE COURT: So what are we doing with these two?
7		MR. RAVICHER: We are in discovery with them, your
8	Honor.	
9		THE COURT: I mean is it is there anything for me
10	to do?	
11		MR. ZIMMERMAN: No.
12		THE COURT: Oh, okay. So you sort of just came to
13	come, ok	ay. Sorry you had to wait all of that time.
14		MR. RAVICHER: Thank you, your Honor.
15		THE COURT: Do you have anything to raise, either of
16	you?	
17		MR. ZIMMERMAN: No, your Honor.
18	ļ	THE COURT: Sorry for the delay.
19		(Adjourned)
20		